IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.SESHASAYANA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 16255 of 2005

Between:

A.P. Bhoodan Yagana Board, rep. by its Secretary Sri. Keshavulu, S/o. Late Balaram,
Gandhi Bhavan, Nampally, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Collector, R.R.District.
2. The Deputy Director Settlements, Survey & Land Records,

Balanagar Mandal Kukatpally, R.R.District.
3. The Assistant Director, Survey & Land Records,

Ranga Reddy District, Lakdika-pool, Hyderabad.
4. District Revenue Officer, R.R.District, O/o. District

Collectorate, R.R.District,
Lakadika-Pool, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

-

When the W.P.M.P.20669 of 2005 came up for consideration, both the parties consented for disposal of the writ petition itself and accordingly, the writ petition is taken up for hearing and is being disposed of by this order.

A.P. Bhoodan Yagna Board, represented by its Secretary has been filed the present writ petition with a prayer to issue writ, order, direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondent in conducting the survey and demarcating boundaries in respect of the petitioner's land admeasuring Ac.17.00 Guntas of land in Sy.No.353 &354 of Kukatpally village Balanagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, as arbitrary and illegal.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the land admeasuring Ac.17.00 Guntas in Survey No.353 and 354 of Kukatpally village was donated to the petitioner Board on 16.08.1959 by Shapurji Chenoy, who is the original owner of the said land. He further submits that the petitioner Board submitted a representation to the 2nd respondent for demarcation of 17.00 Guntas in Survey Nos.353 and 354. Since the 2nd respondent has not taken any action so far, the petitioner Board has chosen to approach this Court invoking the jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Copy of the representation submitted by the petitioner Board to the Deputy Director (Survey & Land Records)-second respondent has been placed on record. It is stated in the representation that demarcation is required in respect of Ac.24.00 guntas comprising Survey Nos.353 and 354. The relevant portion of the representation dated 01.03.2005 reads as follows:

> *"The remaining extent of Ac.17.00 is available on ground as was measured resuming possession in 1993.*
>
> *As the entire area has no come within Urban limits, there are houses that have come up in the vicinity and it is not possible for the Bhoodan Board to exactly demarcate and fix up the boundaries of Ac.17.00 of land. They Deputy Director (Survey & Land Records), Hyderabad had already demarcated the boundaries with durable stones as revealed from his report No. dated (copy enclosed).*
>
> *It is therefore requested that the above authorities may be please to*

conduct survey and fix up the boundaries of the land in Survey No.353 & 354 to enable the Board to fence the land and safeguard the same from encroachment and from the land grabbers as our cause is meant for uplifting the poor and down-trodden by providing lands for house sites."

Since the representation of the petitioner is pending with the second respondent, I deem it appropriate to direct the second respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner Board dated 01.03.2005 and pass appropriate orders within a period of two (2) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above observation, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

(B. SESHASAYANA REDDY, J)

Date: 18.10.2005.

Ksn